

The Fragile Roots of Political Dynasties in Thailand: Historical and Institutional Perspectives

Prajak Kongkirati
Thammasat University/Osaka Metropolitan University

JAAS Conference, 2025

Abstract

Most political dynasties in Thailand have their roots in provincial business families. These families typically emerged from local commercial elites who converted economic resources into electoral influence, often building strong patron–client networks within their constituencies. Weak party institutionalization, combined with persistent economic disparities and uneven regional development, created fertile conditions for such families to enter politics. These dynamics were particularly visible during the brief democratic opening of the 1970s and the semi-democratic regime of the 1980s, when elected institutions offered new opportunities for provincial elites to translate economic capital into political office.

Yet, despite these enabling conditions, political dynasties in Thailand have remained fragile. This weakness is rooted in the broader historical and political structures of the Thai state. Thailand’s modern political history has been dominated by military interventions—more than twenty coups since 1932—that have repeatedly disrupted electoral politics and broken the continuity needed for dynastic consolidation. The monarchy’s enduring political influence has further constrained the rise of alternative, family-based centers of legitimacy, as royalist ideology positions the monarchy as the primary source of national unity and authority.

Generally, provincial political families are neither all-powerful nor irrelevant. They are essential nodes in the country’s political network, but ones that are deeply shaped—and often undermined—by the enduring presence of the traditional unelected elites. Political families are particularly vulnerable to co-optation by the conservative elite, as their survival often depends on access to state resources, protection from legal challenges, and opportunities for political advancement. Lacking strong, institutionalized party structures to anchor their influence, these families are frequently drawn into alliances with the military–monarchy network, especially during the military rule, where patronage and selective concessions are used to integrate them into the establishment’s orbit.

The rise and repeated removal of Thaksin Shinawatra, the premiership of his sister Yingluck, and the more recent political role of his daughter Paetongtarn illustrate both the potential and the vulnerability of political dynasties in Thailand. While capable of mobilizing large popular mandates, they remain exposed to the dominance of the military, royalist networks, and conservative elites. This combination of structural constraints and elite resistance explains why dynastic politics in Thailand, though periodically visible, has never achieved the entrenched dominance seen in the Philippines or other cases. In addition, electoral rules, constitutional engineering, and the strategic use of independent agencies have served to limit the staying power of prominent political families, most notably the Shinawatras.

By tracing the historical, institutional, and elite-level factors that have shaped—and curtailed—dynastic politics, this article situates the Thai experience within the broader comparative literature on political families in hybrid regimes.